

MINUTES OF A  
SPECIAL PUBLIC HEARING MEETING  
OF THE  
ST. JOSEPH COUNTY COUNCIL  
JULY 14, 2020

The special public hearing meeting of the St. Joseph County Council was called to order at 6:02 p.m., on  
July 14, 2020 by the President, Rafael Morton, via Zoom

Members in attendance were:

Mr. Robert L. Kruszynski  
Mr. Corey Noland  
Mr. Richard Pfeil  
Ms. Diana Hess  
Mr. Rafael Morton  
Mr. Mark P. Telloyan  
Mr. Mark A. Catanzarite  
Mr. Joseph F. Canarecci  
Mr. Mark Root

Present from the Auditor's office was Michael J. Hamann, Auditor and John Murphy, Chief Deputy Auditor.  
Council staff present was Mr. Michael Trippel, Attorney and Ms. Jennifer Prawat, Executive Secretary.

Mr. Morton: Bill No. 48-20 has been tabled at the request of the petitioner.

Ms. Hess made the motion to reappoint Dr. Cathy Harris to the St. Joseph County Animal Control Commission Michele Gelfinan to the St. Joseph County Historic Preservation Commission and Wendy Balam, Amy Bennett, Larry Bennett, Honorable John Broden, Mary Butiste-Jones, Jesse Carlton, Ken Cotter, Honorable Andre Gammage, Dan Gebo, Patricia Hancock, Ex. Dir., Honorable Elizabeth Hurley, Corey Noland, Susan Rozzi, Scott Ruszkowski, Dr. Kari Sears and Susan Tybon to the St. Joseph County Domestic Fatality Review Team, in addition, we would like to nominate Janet Whitfield-Hyduk Mishawaka Economic Development Commission, this is just a nomination and was seconded by Mr. Noland.

Ms. Hess made a motion to approve the minutes of the June 9, 2020 meetings and was seconded by Mr. Pfeil, the motion was passed by a voice vote; 9-0.

**1. First Readings:**

**BILL NO. 52-20:** A DECLARATORY RESOLUTION OF THE ST. JOSEPH COUNTY COUNCIL  
DECLARING A PORTION OF ST. JOSEPH COUNTY AN ECONOMIC REVITALIZATION AREA,  
PURSUANT TO IC. 6-1.1-12.1-1, ET SEQ.  
PETITIONER: GRANGER WATER UTILITY LLC  
Assigned to the Land Use Planning Committee

**BILL NO. 53-20:** A DECLARATORY RESOLUTION OF THE ST. JOSEPH COUNTY COUNCIL  
DECLARING A PORTION OF ST. JOSEPH COUNTY AN ECONOMIC REVITALIZATION AREA,  
PURSUANT TO IC. 6-1.1-12.1-1, ET SEQ.  
PETITIONER: ECKCO PROPERTIES & ECKCO PLASTICS, INC.  
Assigned to the Land Use Planning Committee

**BILL NO. 54-20:** AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AND SUPPLEMENTING AN APPENDIX: SCHEDULE OF  
FINES AND FEES FOR THE ST. JOSEPH COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
PETITIONER: ST. JOSEPH COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT  
Assigned to the Human Services/Criminal Justice Committee

**BILL NO. 55-20:** AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AND SUPPLEMENTING TITLE XV, LAND USAGE, CHAPTER 154, PLANNING AND ZONING, OF THE ST. JOSEPH COUNTY CODE, AS AMENDED, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 11825 MCKINLEY, MISHAWAKA FROM C: COMMERCIAL DISTRICT TO R: SINGLE FAMILY DISTRICT

PETITIONER: THE ESTATE OF RODNEY A. DANIEL

Assigned to the Land Use Planning Committee

**BILL NO. 56-20:** AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AND SUPPLEMENTING TITLE XV, LAND USAGE, CHAPTER 154, PLANNING AND ZONING, OF THE ST. JOSEPH COUNTY CODE, AS AMENDED, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED 56325 ASH ROAD, OSCEOLA FROM R: SINGLE FAMILY DISTRICT TO B: BUSINESS DISTRICT

PETITIONER(S): GLEN L. WHEELER AND FANNY R. WHEELER

Assigned to the Land Use Planning Committee

**BILL NO. 50-20:** AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AND SUPPLEMENTING TITLE XV, LAND USAGE, CHAPTER 154, PLANNING AND ZONING, OF THE ST. JOSEPH COUNTY CODE, AS AMENDED, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 13145 STATE ROAD 23 AND VACANT LOT TO WEST FROM R: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO C: COMMERCIAL DISTRICT AND B: BUSINESS DISTRICT

PETITIONER: GFE ENTERPRISES, LLC

Assigned to the Land Use Planning Committee

**BILL NO. 43-20:** AN ORDINANCE OF THE ST. JOSEPH COUNTY COUNCIL AMENDING ORDINANCE 80-19 (2020 SALARY ORDINANCE FOR APPOINTED OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES FOR ALL DEPARTMENTS OF THE COUNTY) PROVIDING A COVID-19 HAZARD PAY INCREASE TO THE COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT'S ESSENTIAL EMPLOYEES WHO HAVE CONTINUED TO PROVIDE LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES TO OUR RESIDENTS WHILE INCREASING THEIR RISK OF CONTRACTING THE VIRUS PETITIONER: SHERIFF

Mr. Noland made a motion to amend Bill No. 43-20, fairly, significantly but, I ask Auditor's help here as far as which fund, how we would like to, I'd like to make a motion that we take eight hundred and fifty thousand dollars currently allocated for the leaf collection program, put it into this said fund wherever we the appropriate place the Auditor directs us to, basically for this purpose and that is significantly more than what the Sheriff's request is on this particular bill but I suspect there will be others and that fund will remain there and when it's done, it's done. First come first served situation but we'd like to take that out of that fund and make payments on two different tiers, the highest tier would be a one time payment of fifteen hundred dollars that would go to the people that the Sheriff's office has identified that are basically hands on, very tight quarters, very close proximity and actually physically met the general public during this pandemic and in close quarters, I guess an example of that of that, in the jail and in police cars and things like that, their job requires them to put themselves in those situations. The second tier would be the people that are less risk but they are still out there doing these tasks, that I have said and there are debates about this is, I am a county employee, my county employee job has not changed because of this pandemic, I am not able under the meeting or go ahead and Zoom but other than that it really hasn't affected my life as far as the county (inaudible) but there are some county employees that dramatically increased their risk, their families, even with their own life. So those two tiers would be determined by the department heads, they would divide those two up, there is X amount in this tier, X amount in that tier, I suspect there are several other departments (inaudible) such as myself that (inaudible) there are other departments that have several. I'd also like to (inaudible) fact that if any of these departments are coming here asking for funds but they have salary funds, salaries that were budgeted for the first half of this year so until June 30<sup>th</sup> of this year, it will go on you, those dollars are going to be used first. If you have unspent funds, the first six months of this year so June 30<sup>th</sup> in your budget, whatever your budget may be, you have salaries, basically an unfilled position. In an unfilled position you've got ten thousand dollars laying around in your salary budget line, that get's spent before this or this is part of it, we would use that money first before digging (inaudible).

Mr. Pfeil: I had sent a note to the Council and on the idea that I think our first job is to make sure everybody has full pay and full benefits, we have fourteen hundred people and I think our first job is to make sure that all fourteen hundred have full pay and full benefits and I think they are very fortunate to be

in that position while we have thousands out of work. Across Indiana, we have lost sixty six thousand hospitality jobs, fifty three thousand manufacturing jobs, education health is down forty one thousand, transportation is down twenty six thousand and then in our county, we have thousands and thousands of people who would consider it the most wonderful thing on earth if they had full pay and full benefits during this crisis. For that reason, I think that we should go to a pay freeze and the pay freeze does not, is not taking on any department or commenting about the ideas that the police or any other department have merit. I would like to bring to your attention that the University of Notre Dame has the police department for instance, and the President of the University of Notre Dame has put into a policy that all people in the family hold the line during this time, the other part that I put with that is very much positive and that is, as soon as this crisis is over, I think all of our people not just the specialized group but all of our people should get appropriate raises and that is my discussion. I think the times are very difficult and I think our first priority is to make sure all fourteen hundred of our people get full pay and full benefits and we take no action to put that in jeopardy.

Mr. Kruszynski: I have a question for Mr. Noland, did you put a max on this hazardous pay out of that leaf fund, I didn't hear that if there was a max.

Mr. Noland: I did, I said eight hundred and fifty thousand dollars.

Mr. Kruszynski: Ok, thank you. Is Mr. Hamann still on?

Mr. Hamann: Yes.

Mr. Kruszynski: Mr. Hamann, do you remember, what we budgeted this year for the leaf program?

Mr. Hamann: Just under one point seven million. There is plenty of money there if you choose to do this, the only thing I don't remember is what fund that's in, John Murphy, was that sitting out of LIT or is that general fund?

Mr. Dalton: It's in LIT.

Ms. Hess: I would just like to respond to Mr. Pfeil and I understand what he is saying and his concerns about keeping all of our county employees in their jobs and paid and I think that's our intention of, at least myself (inaudible) council, I do think that we have an opportunity (inaudible) we have some funds we can use (inaudible) that have been budgeted, there is a hiring freeze, there is a hiring freeze committee that needs to address anything that comes up right now in the process, this is not, in my opinion, special pay for (inaudible) people because we like them, it's a matter of acknowledging that there were certain front line workers who were out doing their jobs in March when everything shut down and we had so little knowledge about what was happening with this Covid virus, how it effects people, how easy it was to get infected or not and they stayed, did their job and I believe there is a reason to reward that, you had the Sheriff's department with the jail which is a congregate setting which added extremely vulnerable and made it (inaudible) job of being that free from the virus of keeping their police force safe so I think we need to acknowledge that and so I would like to second the motion that is on the floor.

Mr. Morton: We have a motion and a second on the floor. Mr. Hamann, we have an amendment I should say and a second on the floor, could you please take roll call for the amendment, we will vote on the amendment first.

Mr. Hamann: Ok, so we are voting on Corey's amendment and now, part of that amendment was that we would use money in the police budget first before you tap into the LIT money, that's part of it too, correct Corey?

Mr. Noland: It is, if they clarify what we are trying to do here is if they have funds, salary money in their budget through June 30<sup>th</sup> because obviously they had unspent money (inaudible) for the rest of the year, say June 30 of unspent salary money, that's where these payments would come from first.

Mr. Hamann: That's, John and I (inaudible) that's good. Alright.

Mr. Noland: Do you have any input whether you or Mr. Murphy or anybody, because I'd like to make this part of it is, what that fund would be or where it would be located, what would be the most appropriate place for that money to reside?

Mr. Murphy: I would like (inaudible) the leaf program budgeted in the LIT fund and this eight hundred and fifty thousand also be budgeted in the LIT fund because what that would be a transfer between accounts.

Mr. Pfeil: Is this to understand that the fund that you are talking about is for the exclusive use of the police department or is this a fund that can be used to help other parts of our organization that have legitimate reasons to have raise?

Mr. Noland: This is specifically not only for the police department. The police department has submitted their request with (inaudible) the dollar amounts that I put out there is going to be a little over five hundred thousand dollars of this eight hundred and fifty thousand dollars but I think the remainder is enough to cover, more than enough to cover the other departments (inaudible).

Mr. Kruszynski: We probably don't know and Mike or John probably can't answer this on how much money at the end of June, I guess Corey is what you are saying has not been used for a salaries in the Sheriff's department can, Mike, can you or John determine that?

Mr. Hamann: (inaudible) there's only (inaudible) and what not so, I mean we can figure out what has not been used in those positions now and then we can look at, later on we will be able to figure out what's not going to be used, it's not hard thing to do.

Mr. Kruszynski: I'm pretty sure the Sheriff's department probably always short with correctional officers am I correct?

Mr. Hamann: That, I couldn't tell you, specifically where they are short.

Mr. Murphy: We'll reach out to Patty Godsey who's the fiscal officer and determine if there are any vacancies and so forth and I think they are right; they typically are some vacancies in the jail operation.

Mr. Kruszynski: Then that would be less money taken out of the LIT then for this hazard pay for the Sheriff's department based on what calculation you come up with that John, correct?

Mr. Murphy: Right and we would do that with all the departments if there is any other department that would qualify this, we would also do it with them as well.

Mr. Pfeil: This is for all the departments in county government?

Mr. Hamann: No, what John was saying if you all decided to give hazard pay to some other group, the treasurers office, whatever, we would follow the same procedure but we know that is not on the table, we understand that.

Mr. Noland: To clarify, I said yes (inaudible) available so said department would have to apply basically, submit a bill and then (inaudible) if they have actual number of people that are deserving to not (inaudible) these two tiers.

Mr. Hamann: Are we ready to go, do you want to vote for the amendment now?

Mr. Morton: Yes, unless there are any other comments.

Mr. Pfeil: The only comment I'd make is, I think it puts one in a difficult position of saying that you know, we have one department or another that's deserving of an increase, my point isn't that all these things shouldn't be considered, my point is that at this time, I think that all of our employees should be pleased to have full pay and full benefits and that the public needs to not have further obligations exceeds the need that we have to give further raises to our people so it's not a departmental thing I am talking about, it's a policy having to do with our overall financial situation and how to respond to it and the University of Notre Dame with a thirteen billion dollar endowment has decided to take a policy approach on this rather than pick one department over another. So my point is not that there isn't merit in various departments, it has to do with the public's needs to not having any further obligation on top of full pay and full benefits at a time like this.

Ms. Hess: I would just like to say that of course, this is not a raise, this is not an ongoing salary increase, this is just to reward the front line workers who again, were out dealing with the public with uncertainty while many employees were allowed to work from home and so I think we can distinguish between the departments that way who would be deserving of the hazard pay so I think there's fairly clear cut to some extent and regarding the thirteen billion dollar endowment at Notre Dame, I don't think that thirteen billion dollars can be used for their operating expenses every year, I think those are (inaudible) specialized funds (inaudible)

Mr. Pfeil: The thirteen billion is available for scholarships and those scholarships help the university so, it does have a very positive influence on the future of the university.

Ms. Hess: I'm not saying that it doesn't have a positive influence, I am just saying that the operating fund might be a little separate from that.

Mr. Pfeil: The only other comment I will make and try to be done with this, I do think that the thin blue line is extremely important and I think that many things are going on right now where the police are accused of things that are perhaps not appropriate so I am very much into that, it is just that I think that our, we have a family of fourteen hundred people and I think they should all be treated in the same manner having to do with the matter of additional pay.

Mr. Noland: My response would be, I don't believe that, I am one of those fourteen hundred and I certainly do not think that I was anywhere near as dangerous level as the officer at the jail that has (inaudible) going into the cell with (inaudible) separate them in (inaudible) we are not all the same, we don't all have to be treated the same but what we do have to do is we have to (inaudible) our best job to make sure that this is done equitably and (inaudible) can be and (inaudible) that made comments about Diana said it earlier about the front line work (inaudible) there is a lot of truth to some of that.

Mr. Noland made the motion to amend Bill 43-20 and was seconded by Ms. Hess. The amendment passed by a vote of 6-3; Against the amendment: Mr. Pfeil, Mr. Telloyan & Mr. Root.

Bill No. 43-20 as amended passed by a vote of 6-3. Against the amended bill: Mr. Pfeil, Mr. Telloyan and Mr. Root.

## **2. Public Hearing/Public Comments: Fiscal Matters**

**BILL NO. 51-20: AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING AND TRANSFERRING MONEYS FOR THE PURPOSE HEREIN SPECIFIED FOR THE SEVERAL DEPARTMENTS HEREIN LISTED OF ST. JOSEPH COUNTY GOVERNMENT**

### **APPROPRIATE:**

#### **A. Health**

1159-33118-000-0055      Immunization Supplies      \$10,000.00  
TOTAL: \$10,000.00

B. Health

9158-33938-000-0055      Vector Supplies \$25,000.00  
TOTAL: \$25,000.00

A motion to pass Bill No. 51-20 was made by Mr. Noland and seconded by Mr. Kruszynski. Bill No. 51-20 was passed to-wit; 9-0

**Land Use Planning:**

**BILL NO. 12-20:** AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AND SUPPLEMENTING TITLE XV, LAND USAGE, CHAPTER 154, PLANNING AND ZONING, OF THE ST. JOSEPH COUNTY CODE, AS AMENDED FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 13463 AND 13479 STATE ROAD 23 FROM R: SINGLE FAMILY DISTRICT TO O: OFFICE DISTRICT  
PETITIONERS: PATRICK MCGANN AND HENRY HONG LE

Mr. Noland reported that Bill No. 12-20 comes with a favorable recommendation.

Ryan Fellows, Area Plan Commission, this bill is for rezoning a long State Road 23, just north east of where Current Road would come to a T there, this has been vetted by all parties concerned. This comes to you with a favorable recommendation from the Area Plan Commission.

Terry Lang, Lang, Feeney and Associates, 715 S. Michigan St. South Bend, I represent the petitioners. The petition before you is a site that has been determined to be a good location for a new Kryder Veterinary Clinic. This site will not have any boarding facilities. The petition before you is to allow them to relocate and build a new facility at this location.

A motion to pass Bill No. 12-20 was made by Mr. Catanzarite and seconded by Ms. Hess. Bill No. 12-20 was passed to-wit; 9-0

**BILL NO. 24-20:** AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AND SUPPLEMENTING TITLE XV, LAND USAGE, CHAPTER 154, PLANNING AND ZONING, OF THE ST. JOSEPH COUNTY CODE, AS AMENDED, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 26725 NEW ROAD FROM A: AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT TO PUD: PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT  
PETITIONERS: STEVE AND MELISSA WEISSER

Mr. Noland reported that Bill No. 24-20 comes with an unfavorable recommendation.

Ryan Fellows, Area Plan Commission, the original concept is for a wedding barn business (inaudible) farming business along with the primary residence of the owner, we had a lot of public input at our public hearing meeting, forty-four or so responding unfavorably. This comes to you with an unfavorable recommendation due to concerns of having a business which served alcohol, having sound from the music during at night and this comes to you with an unfavorable recommendation.

William Nelson, 62295 Pine Road, North Liberty, I had some questions concerning New Road which is a two lane road bounded on one side totally by Potato Creek State Park, it's unlit and at night and during the day certain times of the year we get quite a bit of deer traffic across the road and it's not unusual to have some accidents hitting deer. I also interested in what kind of fire protection would be offered since that section might be covered by North Liberty or other fire departments.

Don (inaudible) Greene Township, my wife and I have lived here since 1991 and we are very familiar with New Road all the way down to North Liberty. We moved out here to be in the country, most of my neighbors have at least twenty acres (inaudible), I am also a member of the Assessor's board of appeals in St. Joseph County and have been for about fifty eight years, I specialize in commercial property, I'm a commercial appraiser, I started in the business in 1971 so I have some expertise in land use planning. What's most disconcerting about this proposal is that it is proceeding under the roof (inaudible) PUD. This is about as far as you can get from a PUD. PUD is

typically a new town or it's a development that includes things such as condominium, apartments, restaurants, shopping centers and libraries. There is no police or fire protection here, you are way out in the middle of nowhere.

Emily Johnson, 62295 Pine Road, North Liberty, I am opposed to this facility.

Oliver Davis, 1801 Nash Street, I would like to note two issues, number one, the petitioner is not only not here tonight, the petitioner was not even at the committee meeting, the second thing about the petitioner, the petitioner did not put in any written commitments regarding the time they were going to close the event, there were different testimonies saying what time he was going to close the events at night. There were no written commitments

Pam Wayne, 25233 (inaudible) Road, North Liberty, I wanted to express our disagreement with that situation.

Lisa Watson, 63200 Pecan Road, North Liberty, this property is adjacent to us. We would be able to hear not only the music, but we would actually be close enough to hear people's voices.

Johnathan Stevens, 27467 New Road, North Liberty, my wife and I own ten acres of which we have livestock that roam. My concern is if there were to be any accidents where someone would go off the road, they would potentially hit one of my horses. We also have little kids; the same concern goes for my kids.

Becky Pittman, 26577 New Road, this party bard will be directly to the west of my whole property. I worry about people stealing things off of our property, we live in the middle of nowhere. Who's going to be responsible for the first death on this road because of this party barn going on next door.

Edward Hayes, 65620 Oak Road, North Liberty, I agree with everything everyone said in opposition.

Andrea, 63752 Redwood Road, I oppose the rezoning of this property.

Jason Goodsell, 26511 New Road, I oppose this.

Robert Watson, 25945 New Road, North Liberty, I have sent you all an email and letters. I ask that you consider that this is next to Potato Creek State Park, although it is not in the park, it is a direct fringe of the park. I ask that you have good common sense tonight and vote against this petition.

A motion to deny Bill No. 24-20 was made by Mr. Noland and seconded by Mr. Kruszynski. Bill No. 24-20 failed to-wit; 9-0

**BILL NO. 25-20: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AND SUPPLEMENTING TITLE XV, LAND USAGE, CHAPTER 154, PLANNING AND ZONING, OF THE ST. JOSEPH COUNTY CODE, AS AMENDED, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 12661 & 12641 MCKINLEY HIGHWAY FROM R: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL TO I: INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT TO C: COMMERCIAL PETITIONER(S): PENN CIVIL TOWNSHIP AND PENN HARRIS MADISON SCHOOL CORPORATION**

Mr. Noland reported that Bill No. 25-20 comes with a favorable recommendation.

Ryan Fellows, this is for a fire station, if anyone wants more detail, I am happy to give it but I think everyone is very familiar with this case.

Jeff Ballard, Danch, Harner & Associates, I am representing Penn Harris Madison School Corporation and Penn Civil Township as we ask for this rezoning to facilitate the construction of the new fire station there on McKinley. We do have sewer and water; we have good access on McKinley. I can answer any questions.

Motion to pass Bill No. 25-20 was made by Mr. Catanzarite and seconded by Ms. Hess. Bill No. 25-20 was passed to-wit; 9-0

**BILL NO. 35-20:** AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AND SUPPLEMENTING TITLE XV, LAND USAGE, CHAPTER 154, PLANNING AND ZONING, OF THE ST. JOSEPH COUNTY CODE, AS AMENDED, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 14304 STATE ROAD 23 FROM R: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL TO O: OFFICE DISTRICT PETITIONER: MITTAL PROPERTIES

Mr. Noland reported that Bill No. 35-20 comes with a favorable recommendation.

Ryan Fellows, this is for a doctor's office on State Road 23, it's going to be (inaudible) an existing home, it will keep the residential character of the area. There was some concern at the meeting about proper land scape screening and the Area Plan Commission supported that and so the person that is the neighbor to the south was satisfied with that and this comes to you with a favorable recommendation and I would be happy to go into any details anyone would like.

Motion to pass Bill No. 35-20 was made by Mr. Canarecci and seconded by Mr. Kruszynski. Bill No. 35-20 was passed to-wit; 9-0

**BILL NO. 44-20:** AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AND SUPPLEMENTING TITLE XV, LAND USAGE, CHAPTER 154, PLANNING AND ZONING, OF THE ST. JOSEPH COUNTY CODE, AS AMENDED, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 50935 SR 933, 50941 SR 933 AND 50945 SR 933 FROM I: INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT TO C: COMMERCIAL DISTRICT PETITIONER: BHOLA SINGH

Mr. Noland reported that Bill No. 44-20 comes with a favorable recommendation.

Ryan Fellows, this is, everyone knows 933 is predominately a commercial corridor. This was rezoned to industrial about forty years ago due to some wanting to have marina sales, since then it's (inaudible) non-conforming use (inaudible) this comes with a favorable recommendation.

Bhola Singh, just want to make sure everyone knows, if you have any questions, I can answer.

Chad Harper, 10231 Conover Drive, Granger, I am working with the petitioner and I wanted to let you know we are definitely looking to rezone this area to appropriately conduct the commercial business.

Motion to pass Bill No. 44-20 was made by Ms. Kruszynski and seconded by Mr. Canarecci. Bill No. 44-20 was passed to-wit; 9-0

**3. Miscellaneous**


**4. Unfinished Business**

**5. New Business**

**6. Adjournment:** Mr. Morton stated that the meeting was adjourned 7:21 p.m.



Auditor, St. Joseph County



President, St. Joseph County Council